

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/721,871 | 11/24/2000 | Kenneth B. Higgins | 5113 | 4059 |
| 7 | 590 09/24/2002 | | | |
| Terry T. Moyer | | | EXAMINER | |
| P.O. Box 1927 Spartanburg, SC 29304 | | | JUSKA, CHE | RYL ANN |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1771 | 17 |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/24/2002 | '> |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| . 1 | | A S-17 | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| · | Applicati n N . | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | 09/721,871 | HIGGINS ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examin r | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Cheryl Juska | 1771 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication | appears on the cover sheet | with the correspondence address | | | | |
| Period for Reply | -DI V 10 OFT TO EVDIDE 4 | MONTH(C) EDOM | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DN. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Me statute, cause the application to become | a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on | 26 March 2001 . | | | | | |
| , . | This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-149</u> is/are pending in the appli | cation. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with | ndrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-149</u> are subject to restriction an Application Papers | nd/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th | e Examiner. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority docur | | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority docur | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor | | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. | | | | | | |
| 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | . □ | Over-1070 440) B No(-) | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N | 8) 5) Notice | ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/721,871

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-51, 56-85, 103, 117, 118, 123-126, 128-130, 132, 133, 136-142, and
 145-149, drawn to a carpet product with a rebond foam layer, classified in class
 428, subclass 95.
 - II. Claims 127, 131, 134, 135, and 143, drawn to a carpet product without a rebond foam layer, classified in class 428, subclass 85.
 - III. Claims 100-102 and 144, drawn to a textile article, classified in class 442, subclasses 221 and 370.
 - IV. Claims 52-55, 86-99, 104-116, and 119-122, drawn to methods of making a carpet product, classified in class 156, subclasses 82 and other various.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions of Group II and Groups I, III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions contain different layers (i.e., Group II without a rebond foam layer and Groups I and III with a rebond foam layer), thereby producing different effects.
- 3. Inventions of Group III and I are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd

Application/Control Number: 09/721,871

Art Unit: 1771

paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as an article other than a carpet product, such as impact resistance mat, pad, or body armor, and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 4. Inventions of Group IV and Groups I, III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the products can be made by lamination with an adhesive other than by flame lamination. Additionally, the products can be made by forming the layer of rebond foam directly on a substrate, rather than by forming a self-sustaining layer of rebond foam and then laminating to said substrate.
- 5. Inventions of Group II and Group IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions, the methods of Group IV do not produce the article of Group II, since Group IV requires the presence of a rebond foam layer.

Application/Control Number: 09/721,871 Page 4

Art Unit: 1771

6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Cheryl Juska whose telephone number is 703-305-4472. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 10am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

cj September 22, 2002

CHERYI) A JUSKA PRIMARY EXAMINER